

REMARKS

Claims 19 and 22 are currently pending in the application.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, and 9 have been canceled.

This amendment is in response to the final Office Action of March 21, 2006.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Obviousness Rejections

Obviousness Rejection Based on Dreher (U.S. Patent 4,551,717) in View of Inobe et al. (U.S. Patent 4,882,581) and Park et al. (U.S. Patent 6,211,862)

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreher (U.S. Patent 4,551,717) in view of Inobe et al. (U.S. Patent 4,882,581) and Park et al. (U.S. Patent 6,211,862). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, as hereinafter set forth.

Claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 have been canceled.

Obviousness Rejection Based on Dreher (U.S. Patent 4,551,717) in View of Inobe et al. (U.S. Patent 4,882,581) and Park et al. (U.S. Patent 6,211,862), and Further in View of Takami (U.S. Patent 4,205,522)

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreher (U.S. Patent 4,551,717) in view of Inobe et al. (U.S. Patent 4,882,581) and Park et al. (U.S. Patent 6,211,862), and further in view of Takami (U.S. Patent 4,205,522).

Claim 5 has been canceled.

Applicant requests entry of this amendment for the following reasons:

The amendment is timely filed.

The amendment places the application in condition for allowance.

The amendment does not require any further search or consideration.

CONCLUSION

Applicant submits that claims 19 and 22 are clearly allowable over the cited prior art.

Applicant requests the entry of this amendment, the allowance of claims 19 and 22 and the case passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,



James R. Duzan
Registration No. 28,393
Attorney for Applicant
TRASKBRITT
P.O. Box 2550
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: May 18, 2006
JRD/aw:lmh
Document in ProLaw